

Relations

In 21st century human being still remains on ancient truths which were normal and logical in their respective eras, but which have become obsolete today and, however, they are still indicating how good or bad current human steps are.

Of course, it should not be forgotten that one thing is *ancient ideas and customs* and another thing is *the word of God*. But can we be really sure about where each of them begin and where they end?

We are in the 21st century of the Christian Era; possibly in the 168th century of the human Era; at least, of the misnamed Homo Sapiens Era.

If we were writing about the discoveries and inventions that mankind achieved before the mid-19th century and are still useful without almost any change, possibly we had a book of moderate size.

If we had to list those technical, scientific and practical advances that mankind has known since mid-19th century, we would need a voluminous book.

If we were to list the dead victims triggered by wars, terrorism, aberrations and group or individual follies experienced by humanity since the mid-19th century, we would also need a very, but very bulky book.

If we had to recount how many values of good living, love and respect have been lost, we would need a large number of pages.

If we were talking about how much human being has advanced in spiritual values of love and respect, we possibly had with one or two pages.

However, except those apocalyptic and addicts to a defeatist drama who believe and advocate the final holocaust and impossible recovery of human race, the remaining majority believe (or

Relations

need to believe) that there is a positive outcome, but many do not know where it is. Many usually blame others' actions as the cause of this situation of instability, disrespect and improperly understood personal freedom.

Certainly, if we continue on this wrong attitude, it is very possible that the *End of the Times* is fulfilled; but, there is still a hope.

Despite what impression I may have given above, I truly believe that there is a way out and it is to face forward, to be very honest with ourselves and do our best to get rid of these chains we have been dragging for millennia and which, precisely because of that long presence in time, we consider as normal and un-touchable⁷ when they are what doesn't let us advance spiritually as well as we are doing scientifically and technically⁸.

Let's make some thinking and let's set ideas, new or old, but well established and firm and so, on them, to build a new better way of seeing life.

Although we'll delve later on the idea, let's admit that nothing is absolutely bad or good; no matter if the ideas are old or new, neither the old nor the new is resoundingly good or utterly bad; one thing doesn't have to stay statically unchanged, nor the other has to eliminate all of the above; human being must learn to choose the correct, keep or change ideas, concepts and beliefs according to as his sincerity and circumstances, created by himself, go advising.

Subjectivity is not good. People often see life and world under their small lens, exactly as that poet who said something like:

⁷ "Sacred Cows make the best burgers" by Robert Kriegel and David Brandt, is a book I recommend given that, although written within a purely business target, it is very applicable to any circumstance of life, to the need for a change and breaking of paradigms. I love their successful analysis and sense of humour.

⁸ This point is the main body of my book "Hysterical history".

Relations

"In this treacherous world, nothing is true nothing is false, everything is understood according to the colour of the glass you used to look"⁹

If we wish to save mankind it can't be with immovable and un-touchable positions, but with an open mind; this doesn't mean a mind of "everything's ok", but far worse with a mind of "everything's wrong,... except what I think".

I hope not to be subjective in my arguments, but logical and objective; the reader should also be as objective as possible. If arguing with me only based on the subjectivity of your received beliefs or teachings you will not achieve any progress; but if you get to be opened in your mind and heart, objective in the selection from your own ideas and from mine, unafraid to examine other possibilities, but without fanaticism, no positions like "It is so because Mr. A says or Saint B says" you will be able to reach new conclusions which will be the result of well selected insights, from yours and mine; you will advance much more than what you can now imagine; but you will have to be very brave.

It is very difficult to break away ideas stationed in human mind since long ago, stationed in one's mind, received from elders or *super* elders and supported by society; it is very difficult; it scares to go against the tide and out of that to deserve a strong rejection or even punishment.

I think every human being should review positions with respect to the rest of human beings and about God or the Divine Essence or Higher Being or with respect to the Nature or simply to the Existence.

9 Ramón de Campoamor (Navia, Asturias 24th September, 1817; Madrid, 11th February, 1901): "En este mundo traidor / nada es verdad ni mentira / todo es según el color / del cristal con que se mira "